

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/716,316 First Named Applicant: Xiaochun Nie
Examiner: David N. Werner Art Unit: 2621 Status of Application: Non-Final

Tentative Participants:

(1) David N. Werner (2) Mani Adeli (Reg No. 39,585)
(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 12/03/2008 Proposed Time: 10:00AM AM/PM

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej. (§ 102(e))</u>	<u>1, 8</u>	<u>Noh</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Rej. (§ 102(b))</u>	<u>5, 12</u>	<u>Chiang</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) <u>Rej. (§ 103(a))</u>	<u>15, 17</u>	<u>Noh, Uz</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) <u>Rej. (§ 101)</u>	<u>8, 12, 17</u>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:

Please see attached Appendix

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

/Mani Adeli/
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature
Mani Adeli

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative
39,585

Registration Number, if applicable

Examiner/SPE Signature

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application for:

Xiaochun Nie, et al.

Serial No.: 10/716,316

Filing Date: 11/17/2003

For: METHOD OF IMPLEMENTING
IMPROVED RATE CONTROL FOR
A MULTIMEDIA COMPRESSION
AND ENCODING SYSTEM

Examiner: David N. Werner

Group Art Unit: 2621

APPENDIX FOR EXAMINER INTERVIEW

ON DECEMBER 3, 2008 AT 10:00AM EST

A. Claims 1 and 8

1. Noh does not disclose or suggest a method that accepts a scaling relaxation value specifying an amount to relax a scaling performed to prevent buffer underflow or overflow.
2. Noh does not disclose or suggest a method that adjusts a scaling value with a scaling relaxation value specifying an amount to relax a scaling performed to prevent buffer underflow or overflow

B. Claims 5 and 12

1. Chiang does not disclose or suggest a method that combines a complexity measure for a current digital video picture to a running average complexity measure for a series of digital video pictures in a manner that prevents the current digital video picture from significantly changing the running average complexity measure for the series of digital video pictures.

C. Claims 15 and 17

1. Neither Noh, Uz, nor the combination thereof discloses or suggests a method that determines an initial value for a scale value based on a percentage of a memory buffer space used.
2. Neither Noh, Uz, nor the combination thereof discloses or suggests a method that determines a relaxation control value to relax a scaling of the bit budget that is based on a percentage of memory buffer space used.

D. Claims 8, 12, and 17

1. Claims 8, 12, and 17 are directed towards statutory subject matter.